## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

JEVON M. JENKINS,

Defendant.

No. 12-CR-30239-DRH-3

## **MEMORANDUM & ORDER**

## **HERNDON**, District Judge:

Pending before the Court is Jevon Jenkins' motion for inclusion on remand (Doc. 323). Following the Seventh Circuit's mandate that remanded his codefendant's case for resentencing, Jenkins moves that the Court should consider his case concurrently with that of co-defendant Antwon Jenkins. Based on the following, the Court dismisses for want of jurisdiction.

Once a district court enters final judgment it lacks jurisdiction to continue to hear related issues, except to the extent authorized by statute or rule. *See Carlisle v. United States*, 517 U.S. 416 (1996). Here, Jenkins argues that his codefendant's appeal and subsequent remand for resentencing should apply to his case. However, the Court of Appeals has not remanded Jevon Jenkins' cause for resentencing, only that of his co-defendant, and no applicable statute or rule authorizes the Court to consider his motion. Thus, the Court lacks jurisdiction to entertain the motion.

Accordingly, the Court **DISMISSES for want of jurisdiction** defendant's motion for inclusion on remand (Doc. 323).

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this 10th day of May, 2017.

Digitally signed by Judge David R. Herndon

Date: 2017.05.10 09:56:40 -05'00'

**United States District Judge**